You say, “that the president and the senate having the power of making treaties, which are to be considered, as the laws of the land, is highly dangerous.” Do you, sir, really think, that this power, thus exercised, can be productive of any dangerous consequence? But, why do I ask this question? A mind, which delights, like yours, to indulge itself in political reveries, is capable of conceiving any idea, however absurd, and being startled by any danger, however visionary. You cannot but know, sir, that the power of making treaties is safely exercised in other countries, by the executive authority alone, and that the treaties, when made, become the laws of the land. Have you not read, that this power is given to the executive authority alone by the British government, and that the treaties, when made, are pronounced to be the supreme laws of the land? Do you not know, that in Massachusetts, their court determined, that the definitive treaty of peace, between America and Great Britain, superseded the laws of that state, which forbid suing for British debts, and of consequence, was considered by that state, as the supreme law of the land. Of these circumstances, you cannot, sir, be ignorant. Stand forth, then, thou deliberate deceiver of the people, and answer, without equivocation or disguise, the following interrogatories. Mas not the power of making treaties been, always, considered, as a part of the executive? Do you not, sincerely, believe, that the concurrence of the senate with the president, in the execution of this power is a happy innovation in the fœderal constitution? Will it not afford a strong additional security to the people for its faithful performance? Do you not conceive it to be one of the loveliest features of the new constitution? My God! can it be possible, that there is a man existing who, at this awful period, and on such a solemn occasion, is capable of publicly avowing opinions, which are calculated, only to mislead? Have you vainly supposed, sir, that the good people of this country were destitute of both spirit and understanding? If you do, you will permit me, sir, to inform you, as I am not personally your enemy, that we have reason to understand, and spirit to resent.