

Poplicola, *Boston Gazette*, 24 December 1787

Messieurs EDES, Mr. McKean, says a Philadelphia paper, closed a long speech on the legislative article of the new Constitution with this striking observation: "Though a good system of government is certainly a blessing, yet it is on the *administration* of the best system, that the freedom, wealth and happiness of the people depend." There is nothing, I confess, so striking to my mind in this observation—A good system of government may be, and too often is, administered by weak and corrupt men; and while this is the case, the people will suffer injury. The fault then will be not in the system, but in the administration; though many persons, when they see public affairs badly managed, are apt to ascribe it to the wrong cause; and hence they wish to change the very nature of a good Constitution; and very frequently change for the worse. The *Federalists*, as they call themselves (improperly in my humble opinion) seem to be aware that the plan offered by the late Convention will not endure a strict scrutiny; they wish, however, that the people would adopt it in its present form, and depend on a wise administration. But do they think the people of America, after so magnanimous and arduous a conflict for the rights of mankind, will be so *improvident* as to adopt a form which they may not think safe for themselves, and then posterity, because Mr. McKean thinks, as we find in another part of his speech, that even the worst government *may* be so administered as to ensure the dignity and happiness of a nation? I presume they will not—Let us aim at a *Federal* Constitution, calculated to establish the *Federal* Union of these sovereign States, and secure the liberties of the people; and having fixed upon *such* a Constitution, we shall even then have enough to do, if we turn our utmost attention to the means of having it administered well. This would be acting up to the character of citizens of a free sovereign and independent State.

But there is one observation made by that honorable gentleman, in the same speech, which, to me, appears *striking* indeed, "Despotism, (says he) if wisely administered, *is the best form of government ever invented by the ingenuity of man!*" I cannot but wonder that such a sentiment should fall from the lips of Mr. McKean, who is undoubtedly a man of sense and knowledge—In the course of his studies in the law, and from his other reading, I should have thought he would have been led to a different conclusion.—Is *Despotism* then the offspring of human ingenuity? No. In societies of men, it springs from an intolerably haughty, and imperious temper—an insatiable lust of domination; and from servility and ignorance in multitudes of the human race, who have been flattered and coaxed to give up their unalienable rights of nature, by degrees, till the tyrant has become strong enough to invade the whole, and immerge the deluded multitude in slavery and wretchedness. For my part, I do not believe there is a man on earth, to whom it would be safe for the people to intrust the powers of a despot, whose will must be their law—I would not trust him however mild and gracious his natural temper might be. *Nero*, was said to be blest with a kind and affectionate heart; but the powers of a despot intoxicated his mind—He soon became wild and unruly, as the most untameable beasts of the forest—Every tender feeling was eradicated from his soul, and

he was the butcher of the *subjects* (not *citizens*) of Rome in a very few years.—Fatal experience has taught the world, that despotism has proved ruinous to the dignity and happiness of men—*Despots* have very rarely, if ever, had wisdom, integrity and other essential qualities, to “administer” their governments “wisely;” and they have as seldom had inclination to spend a thought about it. The Supreme Being, indeed, governs the Universe by the council of his own understanding; and if all his creatures are not happy under his government, it is owing to their imperfection, or their fault. He alone is perfectly wise, powerful, and good—He leaves it to the wisdom of men to institute governments for themselves, and it must be owned that the wisest human institutions are *imperfect*—But it is exceedingly clear, from the government which He prescribed for his favourite people, that *despotism* was not *His* choice—They foolishly changed their free government for a monarchy, though they were faithfully warned of the intolerable burdens it would bring them under, which they afterwards felt to their cost without remedy¹—The pride and madness, not the *ingenuity* of man, *invented* despotism. I wish Mr. McKean would again recur to the histories of Despotic Governments, and see how many of the tyrants have treated their people with savage and brutal barbarity, to one who govern’d with a tolerable degree of mildness and wisdom: Even under the best of them, their forlorn subjects hold their property, their liberties, and lives, on no better a tenure than their sovereign pleasure—I have seen and experienced so much of the depravity and weakness of the human mind, that I hope these States will never be prevailed upon to relinquish a greater share of their powers, to the Federal Union, than is sufficient to give the government a degree of energy, adequate to the emergencies of the Union; and that while they cheerfully do this, they will wisely guard those rights and powers which shall remain, and watch with *republican* jealousy against the least encroachment on them.

Mr, McKean, after speaking of the *Supreme* excellency of despotism, if wisely administered, mentions absolute and limited monarchies, aristocracies, and mixed governments, and says the people under each of them “are as *contented* and prosperous as we are, owing, undoubtedly to the wisdom and virtue of their rulers.” Absolute monarchies and hereditary aristocracies are much the same, so far as the people whom they govern, are affected. By gazing at the splendor of a monarch, or a nobility, and being well accustomed to military tyranny, they bow to the yoke and bear it as patiently as their brother-oxen—They drag their heavy loads without repining, and will be *contented*, though they are cruelly whipped for their pains. Whence is this abject submission? From their ignorance.—Slavery renders them incapable, even of thinking—The means of information are kept from them, and they have not the idea that men were designed to be free, and that some communities, alas! how few! actually are free. England is a limited monarchy, and a mixed government—The people of that nation must be allowed, to be very *contented*’, for they have seen their nation governed by a junto—They have seen that junto purchasing parliaments to give a sanction to all their profligate measures—They have seen the junto raising and keeping a standing army in a time of profound peace: That army murdering peaceable and quiet subjects, and the murderers pardoned—And they have seen that junto employing an army and navy for the most dishonorable purpose of slave-making in America, and finally squandering

away thirteen of their richest jewels! and after all they have scarcely breath'd a murmer.—God Almighty grant, that these United States may never be ruled by a *junto*, or if they should be so unfortunate, may they not long rest *contented* under it!

Cite as: *The Documentary History of the Ratification of the Constitution Digital Edition*, ed. John P. Kaminski, Gaspare J. Saladino, Richard Leffler, Charles H. Schoenleber and Margaret A. Hogan. Charlottesville: University of Virginia Press, 2009.

Canonic URL: <http://rotunda.upress.virginia.edu/founders/RNCN-02-05-02-0002-0015> [accessed 06 Jan 2011]

Original source: Ratification by the States, Volume V: Massachusetts, No. 2