



CENTER FOR THE STUDY OF THE AMERICAN CONSTITUTION

csac.history.wisc.edu > Document Collections > Popular Culture and Ratification > Press
Bias during Ratification > Pennsylvania

Galba

Philadelphia *Independent Gazetteer*, 31 October 1787

MR. OSWALD, The hint in your paper of this morning, that every one ought to leave his name with the Printer who writes for or against the new form of government proposed by the Honorable Convention, is only, in my opinion, right in part:—for, what reason is there that the patriotic gentlemen who write in favour of a scheme of government, that holds forth *peace*, happiness and prosperity to our distressed country, should, by leaving their names with the Printers, be exposed to the malevolence of those wretches who pretend to find fault with it. Indeed I think it perfectly right, that those who wantonly write against it should leave their names, that they may be justly exposed to the contempt and indignation of their fellow citizens, as enemies and traitors to their country;—and I hope every patriotic Printer in the union will for the future, pay proper attention to the justness and absolute propriety of this hint.

29th October, 1787.

CITE AS: John P. Kaminski et al., eds., *The Documentary History of the Ratification of the Constitution*, Vol. XIII: Commentaries on the Constitution, Public and Private [1] (Madison, Wis.: Wisconsin Historical Society Press, 1981), 319.