



CENTER FOR THE STUDY OF THE AMERICAN CONSTITUTION

csac.history.wisc.edu > Document Collections > Religion and Ratification > The Debate
Over Religious Tests > Opposed to Religious Tests

Samuel Spencer Speech: North Carolina Hillsborough Convention, 30 July 1788

Mr. *Spencer* was an advocate for securing every unalienable right, and that of worshipping God according to the dictates of conscience in particular. He therefore thought that no one particular religion should be established. Religious tests, said he, have been the foundation of persecutions in all countries. Persons who are conscientious will not take the oath required by religious tests, and will therefore be excluded from offices, though equally capable of discharging them as any member of the society. It is feared, continued he, that persons of bad principles, Deists, Atheists, &c. may come into this country, and there is nothing to restrain them from being eligible to offices. He asked if it was reasonable to suppose that the people would chuse men without regarding their characters. Mr. *Spencer* then continued thus—Gentlemen urge that the want of a test admits the most vicious characters to offices. I desire to know what test could bind them. If they were of such principles, it would not keep them from enjoying those offices. On the other hand, it would exclude from offices conscientious and truly religious people, though equally capable as others. Conscientious persons would not take such an oath, and would be therefore excluded. This would be a great cause of objection to a religious test. But in this case as there is not a religious test required, it leaves religion on the solid foundation of its own inherent validity, without any connexion with temporal authority, and no kind of oppression can take place. I confess it strikes me so. I am sorry to differ from the worthy gentleman [David Caldwell]. I cannot object to this part of the Constitution. I wish every other part was as good and proper.

Governor *Johnston* approved of the worthy member's candour. He admitted a possibility of Jews, Pagans, &c. emigrating to the United States; yet, he said, they could not be in proportion to the emigrations of Christians who should come from other countries; that in all probability the children even of such people would be Christians; and that this, with the rapid population of the United States, their zeal for religion and love of liberty, would, he trusted, add to the progress of the Christian religion among us.

CITE AS: John P. Kaminski et al., eds., *The Documentary History of the Ratification of the Constitution*, Vol. XXX: North Carolina [1] (Madison, Wis.: Wisconsin Historical Society Press, 2019), 410–11.